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#### Abstract

These notes (from my boyhood in Bristol) use multiple scattering theory (MST) to solve the perfect lattice and single impurity problems (see [1], [2]). I also give the corresponding derivations in tightbinding theory (TBT) and show how similar in structure they are to MST. This connection was suggested by Shiba [3] and Anderson's paper [4] on magnetic impurities. The classic TBT treatment of the impurity problem is by Slater and Koster [5].
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## 1 Fundamental equations

The MST problem refers to a system of non-overlapping spherical scatterers located at points $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\}$ and described by t-matrices $t_{i, L}(\varepsilon)$ - note that $L \equiv(I, m)$. The scattering path matrix is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{L L^{\prime}}^{i j}(\varepsilon)=t_{i, L}(\varepsilon)+\sum_{L^{\prime \prime}} \sum_{k \neq i} t_{i, L}(\varepsilon) G_{L L^{\prime \prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}_{i k}, \varepsilon\right) \tau_{L^{\prime L^{\prime}}}^{k j}(\varepsilon) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{R}_{i j}=\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}$. For what follows we don't need to display the full angular momentum indices and energy variables - only the site indices come into the development. So we'll use an obvious simplified notation and rewrite (1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{i j}=t_{i} \delta_{i j}+\sum_{k \neq i} t_{i} G^{i k} \tau^{k j} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When put on the energy shell, $G^{i j}$ becomes the real-space structure constant matrix [6] which acts as a propagator linking sites $i$ and $j$. This is why the term $k=i$ is excluded from the sum in (1.2); all repeated scatterings from the potential at site $i$ are included in $t_{i}$. Indeed $G^{i j}$ depends on the Hankel functions $h_{l}\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)[6]$ and these are infinite when $i=j$. Therefore, to simplify the formulae, we modify the structure constants such that the site diagonal term is defined to be zero [6] and then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{i j}=t_{i} \delta_{i j}+\sum_{k} t_{i} G^{i k} \tau^{k j} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $G^{i k}$ is understood to mean this modified structure constant matrix.
The TBT treatment, on the other hand, starts with the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}+\sum_{i j} T_{i j} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is a single-site energy ${ }^{1}, T_{i j}$ is the hopping integral between sites $i$ and $j$ and $a_{i}^{+}$is the creation operator for the Wannier state $|i\rangle$ on site $i$. The Green's function, or resolvent, is, as usual,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)=(\varepsilon-\mathcal{H})^{-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the Wannier state representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j}(\varepsilon)=\langle i|(\varepsilon-\mathcal{H})^{-1}|j\rangle=\langle 0| a_{i}(\varepsilon-\mathcal{H})^{-1} a_{j}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$|0\rangle$ being the vacuum. The basic method of solution is to work with the set of equations

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k}\langle i|(\varepsilon-\mathcal{H})|k\rangle G_{k j}(\varepsilon)=\delta_{i j} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This is how Anderson [4] did the impurity problem. Indeed, the perfect lattice is easily treated using the lattice Fourier transform of (1.7). Here, however, I'm going to write it in a different way, one in which the mathematical connection with MST is clear.

First, define the following operators

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) & =\varepsilon-\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} \\
\mathcal{T} & =\sum_{i, j} T_{i j} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, omitting the energy arguments, we can write the Green's function operator (1.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{T})^{-1}=\left(1-\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{T}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this in turn can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{L}^{-1}+\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{T G} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This already has the look of a Dyson equation, and resemblance becomes closer when we put it in a site representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle i| \mathcal{L}^{-1}|j\rangle=\langle i|\left(\varepsilon-\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{+} a_{i}\right)^{-1}|j\rangle=\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{i}\right)^{-1} \delta_{i j}=l_{i}(\varepsilon) \delta_{i j} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}^{-1}(\varepsilon) \equiv \frac{1}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{i}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called (by Shiba [3]) the locator of site i. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle i| \mathcal{T}|j\rangle=\langle i| \sum_{k, l} T_{k l} a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}|j\rangle=T_{i j} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.10) thus reads, in a site representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j}=\boldsymbol{l}_{i}^{-1} \delta_{i j}+\boldsymbol{l}_{i}^{-1} \sum_{k} T_{i k} G_{k j} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our "fundamental" equation of TBT. A glance at (1.3) reveals the equivalence, as regards the site indexing, to MST. For convenience, here's a translation table between the two approaches:

| MST | TBT |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $G$ |
| $G$ | $T$ |
| $t$ | $\iota^{-1}$ |

## 2 The perfect lattice

Consider first the MST approach to band theory, the KKR method. The set of vectors $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\}$ now form a periodic lattice in which (in the simplest case) each site hast-matrix $t$ ie $t_{i}=t \quad \forall i$. The scattering path matrix (1.3) is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{i j}=t \delta_{i j}+t \sum_{k} G^{i k} \tau^{k j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We exploit translational invariance by using lattice Fourier transforms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{i j}=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i q \cdot\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)} \tau(\mathbf{q})  \tag{2.2}\\
& G^{i j}=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i q \cdot\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)} G(\mathbf{q})
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals are carried out over the Brillouin zone whose volume is $\Omega$. Equation (2.1) is then solved to give

$$
\tau(\mathbf{q})=t+t G(\mathbf{q}) \tau(\mathbf{q})=\left(t^{-1}-G(\mathbf{q})\right)^{-1}
$$

The scattering path matrix for the perfect lattice is thus, in all its variables and indices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{L^{\prime}}^{i j}(\varepsilon)=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i q\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)}\left[\left(t^{-1}(\varepsilon)-G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right)^{-1}\right]_{L^{\prime}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The KKR condition is now easily deduced. We seek poles of the Green's function, which are also the poles of the T-matrix and thus of the $\tau(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)$. But by the rules of matrix inversion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{u^{\prime}}(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon) & =\left[\left(t^{-1}(\varepsilon)-G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right)^{-1}\right]_{L^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{\left[\text { cofactor of }\left(t_{l}^{-1}(\varepsilon) \delta_{L^{\prime}}-G_{\mu^{\prime}}(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right)\right]}{\left\|t^{-1}(\varepsilon)-G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of the perfect lattice, ie the bands, are given by the zeros of the so-called KKR determinant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t^{-1}(\varepsilon)-G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right\|=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In TBT, the equivalent perfect lattice is defined by a single site energy $\varepsilon_{a}$, the same on all sites, and hopping integrals which depend only on $\mathbf{R}_{i j} \equiv \mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}$. Hence, from (1.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{l}_{i}^{-1}(\varepsilon) & =\frac{1}{\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{a}\right)} \equiv \boldsymbol{l}^{-1} \forall i \\
T_{i j} & =T\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)} T(\mathbf{q})
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (1.13) we can again introduce lattice Fourier transforms

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{i j} & =T\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i q \cdot\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)} T(\mathbf{q}) \\
G_{i j}(\varepsilon) & =G\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}, \varepsilon\right)=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q} e^{i q \cdot\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{j}\right)} G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the correspondences noted in section 1, together with (2.3), yield

$$
G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{(\iota(\varepsilon)-T(\mathbf{q}))}=\frac{1}{\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{a}-T(\mathbf{q})\right)}
$$

The TB bands are obviously given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\mathbf{q})=\varepsilon_{a}+T(\mathbf{q}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the analogue of $(2.4)^{2}$. For example, a [1D] chain of lattice spacing $a$ with nearestneighbour hopping, ie $T_{i j}=T\left(\delta_{i, j+1}+\delta_{i, j-1}\right)$, gives $T(q)=2 T \cos (q a)$. Thus $\varepsilon(q)=\varepsilon_{a}+2 T \cos (q a)$ which is the familiar result from elementary TBT.

## 3 The single impurity

This problem is defined by a lattice $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\}$ in which each site has an A atom, except site $i$ which has a B atom. In MST, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}=t_{A}+\left(t_{A}-t_{B}\right) \delta_{j i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green's function at the impurity site is determined by the $i$-site-diagonal element of $\tau$, which is given by (1.3) and (2.6) as

$$
\tau^{i j}=t_{B}+t_{B} \sum_{k} G^{i k} \tau^{k i}
$$

But it's obvious that for $j \neq i$

$$
\tau^{j i}=\left[\sum_{k} \tau^{j k} G^{k i}\right] t_{B}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{i i}=t_{B}+t_{B}\left[\sum_{j, k} G^{i j} \tau^{j k} G^{k i}\right] t_{B} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be written as follows:
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$$
\begin{align*}
\tau^{i i} & =t_{B}+t_{B} F^{i} t_{B} \\
F^{i} & =\sum_{j, k} G^{i j} \tau^{j k} G^{k i} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$F^{i}$ represents the contribution from all paths starting and ending at site $i$. Call $f_{n}^{i}$ the sum over all paths with $n$ steps, so that

$$
F^{i}=\sum_{n} f_{n}^{i}
$$

The corresponding quantity for the perfect, pure A, lattice, having an A atom on site $i$, is

$$
F_{0}^{i}=\sum_{j k} G^{i j} \tau_{0}^{j k} G^{k i}=\sum_{n} f_{0, n}^{i}
$$

Here the subscript 0 denotes the perfect lattice. Now comes the trick. Let $\tilde{f}_{0, n}^{i}$ be the sum over all paths of length $n$ which avoid site $i$ as an intermediate step. Then, of all the paths which contribute to $f_{n}^{i}$, those which avoid site $i$ altogether are included in $\tilde{f}_{0, n}^{i}$, while the rest hit site $/$ for the first time at the $m^{\text {th }}$ step $(m<n)$, pick up a scattering $t_{B}$, and move off again, possibly to return later in the path. Hence

$$
f_{n}^{i}=\tilde{f}_{0, n}^{i}+\sum_{m}^{n} \tilde{f}_{0, m}^{i} t_{B} f_{n-m}^{i}
$$

and if $\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} \equiv \sum_{n} \tilde{f}_{0, n}^{i}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{i}=\tilde{F}_{0}^{i}+\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{B} F^{i} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the corresponding paths, $f_{0, n}^{i}$, of the pure A lattice, we have

$$
f_{0, n}^{i}=\tilde{f}_{0, n}^{i}+\sum_{m}^{n} \tilde{f}_{0, m}^{i} t_{A} f_{0, n-m}^{i}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}^{i}=\tilde{F}_{0}^{i}+\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{A} F_{0}^{i} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now do some careful matrix algebra. Write, using (2.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{B} \equiv \tau^{i i}=t_{B}+t_{B} F^{i} t_{B} \\
& \tau_{A} \equiv \tau_{0}^{i i}=t_{A}+t_{A} F_{0}^{i} t_{A} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{i}=\left[1-\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{B}\right]^{-1} \tilde{F}_{0}^{i} \\
& F_{0}^{i}=\left[1-\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{A}\right]^{-1} \tilde{F}_{0}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{B}=t_{B}+t_{B}\left[1-\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{B}\right]^{-1} \tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{B}=t_{B}\left[1-\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{B}\right]^{-1} \\
& \tau_{A}=t_{A}\left[1-\tilde{F}_{0}^{i} t_{A}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
t_{B}^{-1}-\tau_{B}^{-1}=\tilde{F}_{0}^{i}=t_{A}^{-1}-\tau_{A}^{-1}
$$

This allows us to write $\tau_{B}$ in terms of $\tau_{A}=\tau_{0}^{i i}$ which, in turn, is just the site-diagonal element of the pure A lattice $\tau$ matrix and is therefore given by the result (2.3) of section 2 . Thus the exact solution to the MST impurity problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{B}=\tau_{A}\left[1+\left(t_{B}^{-1}-t_{A}^{-1}\right) \tau_{A}\right]^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tau_{A}(\varepsilon)\right]_{L L^{\prime}}=\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q}\left[\left(t_{A}^{-1}(\varepsilon)-G(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon)\right)^{-1}\right]_{L L^{\prime}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding model TBT impurity system has site energies $\varepsilon_{A}$ everywhere on the periodic lattice except site $i$ which has site energy $\varepsilon_{B}$. If we take the hopping integrals $T_{i j}$ to be the same as in the pure A lattice, then the problem is specified by the locators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{j}=\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{j} \\
& \varepsilon_{j}=\varepsilon_{A}+\left(\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}\right) \delta_{j i}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{L}_{j} & =\boldsymbol{L}_{A}+\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{B}-\boldsymbol{L}_{A}\right) \delta_{j i} \\
\boldsymbol{L}_{A(B)} & \equiv \varepsilon-\varepsilon_{A(B)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into the fundamental equation (1.14) gives

$$
G_{B} \equiv G_{i i}=l_{B}^{-1}+l_{B}^{-1}\left[\sum_{j k} T_{i j} G_{j k} T_{k i}\right] \iota_{B}^{-1}
$$

with a corresponding expression for the pure A lattice. This is just the analogue of the MST expression (2.7). The whole tricky argument about paths carries through, and we can leap to the answer:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{B} & =G_{A}\left[1+\left(\iota_{B}-\iota_{A}\right) G_{A}\right]^{-1} \\
G_{A}(\varepsilon) & =\Omega^{-1} \int_{B Z} d \mathbf{q}\left[\iota_{A}(\varepsilon)-T(\mathbf{q})\right]^{-1} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, note that (2.12) is at the heart of the KKRCPA method [1] for random substitutional alloys, and (2.14) is a key result for the Anderson model [4]. I wrote out this relatively complicated derivation, mainly as an example of the "combinatorial" approach (scattering path classification and counting) pioneered by, for example, John Beeby [2]. In my "Multiple Scattering Theory Primer" [6], using the general treatment of reference systems, I give a much simpler and shorter derivation of the impurity result in MST, which applies to the entire impurity $\tau$ matrix (not just its impurity sitediagonal component $\tau^{i i}$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We could/should label the single-site energy by atomic quantum numbers, in particular the angular momentum. Then $\varepsilon_{i}$ would become a vector $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $T_{i j}$ a matrix $\mathbf{T}_{i j}$ in these labels. However, in the spirit of (1.2), we will suppress these labels in TBT and focus only on site labels.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Recall footnote 1: if we retained the atomic quantum numbers (angular momenta etc) on the site energies then (2.5) for the band energies would be replaced by the determinantal condition $\left\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{q})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{a}-\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{q})\right\|=0$.

